Performance assessment of the ERA5-Land reanalysis versus ground-based agrometeorological data over a south Mediterranean basin

Youness Ouassanouan¹, Younes Fakir^{1,3}, Mohamed Hakim Kharrou², Vincent Simonneaux⁴, Bouchra Ait Hssaine¹, and Abdelghani Chehbouni^{1,2,4}

- 1 Center for Remote Sensing Applications (CRSA), Mohammed VI Polytechnic University (UM6P), Ben Guerir 43150, Morocco (youness.ouassanouan@um6p.ma)
- 2 International Water Research Institute (IWRI), Mohammed VI Polytechnic University (UM6P), 43150 Ben Guerir, Morocco
- 3 Department of Geology, Faculty of Sciences-Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech 40001, Morocco
- 4 Centre d'Etudes Spatiales de la Biosphère (CESBIO), Université de Toulouse, CNES/CNRS/INRAE/IRD/UPS, 31400 Toulouse, France

Abstract

Reference evapotranspiration (ETO) is a key parameter for assessing crop evapotranspiration (ETc). The latter, considered the crop water demand, is a critical component of the water budget and could be responsible for up to 60% of precipitation returns to the atmosphere. A reasonable estimate of ETO requires several climatic data, which may be lacking in areas where monitoring stations are sparse. In semi-arid and arid regions, especially the south Mediterranean, agro-meteorological ground stations are often unavailable and/or sparsely distributed with short datasets, making it difficult to have an overall proper assessment of agricultural water requirements. To overcome this challenge, the use of satellites and the reanalysis datasets could be an alternative to meet the needs for geographically and temporally distributed agro-meteorological data. In this regard, the present study explores the performance of the Penman-Monteith (FAO56-ET0) method fed by ERA5 Land outputs in estimating daily ETO. First, the ERA5-L data relative to air temperature (T2m), surface solar radiation downwards (Rs), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (u10), and reference evapotranspiration (ETO) were compared with the corresponding in situ data on a daily basis. Overall, there was a good agreement between observed and reanalysis data. The temperature showed the best performance followed by RH, Rs, then u10. This was translated to good results in ETO estimation under different topographical conditions. The results revealed the potential of reanalysis datasets as an alternative input for estimating ETO and overcoming the unavailability of certain agrometeorological data.

Keywords: ERA5-Land, Reanalysis, Meteorological data, Reference evapotranspiration, Data-scarce regions.